

Herald Sun



NEWS

AFL

SPORT

OPINION

ENTERTAINMENT

BUSINESS

LIFESTYLE

Andrew Bolt Blog Posts

A TEST TO EXPOSE NOEL PEARSON'S RACIST PLAN

Andrew Bolt, Herald Sun

August 4, 2019 10:19am

 Subscriber only

Noel Pearson makes a (false) distinction without a difference, to hide the fact that his plan to change the Constitution is racist. And he has the hide to protest that other people might tell lies:

Cape York leader Noel Pearson says the truth is out about the just movement for a First Nations voice in the constitution and now only lies can defeat it.

Actually, that is exactly wrong. The truth is **not** yet out about an **un**just movement, and only **lies** can make it succeed.

As it happens, though, Pearson has accidentally let the truth slip out about his racist proposal to give some people more constitutional rights than others on the grounds of their race - a tragic retreat to a form of apartheid.

[No, no, no, protests Pearson:](#)

This argument succeeds only if you ignore the truth that our claim is on the basis of our being indigenous to this country, not on the basis of race. Bolt and the IPA remain steadfastly obscurant on this.

Again, that is not the "truth" at all, and it is Pearson himself who is "obscurant".

Pearson's plan is *not at all* to give some people superior rights on the constitution based only on their "being indigenous to this country". He says that only because he has been shamed at least out of saying what his plan really is: to give people superior rights based on their race - something he at least seems to accept is morally repugnant, if not socially disastrous.

Let me prove this truth about Pearson's racist plan.

If he really wants to change the Constitution to give an extra "Voice" to Australians "indigenous to this country", does he include also those white and Asian Australians who are indigenous to it, too?

I mean those white and Asian Australians were were born right here and have no other home. I mean those Australians who even Pearson himself once admitted were indeed indigenous, too, or least "becoming indigenous":

The essence of indigeneity ... is that people have a connection with their ancestors whose bones are in the soil. Whose dust is part of the sand. [I had to come to the somewhat uncomfortable conclusion that even Andrew Bolt was becoming Indigenous](#) because the bones of his ancestors are now becoming part of the territory.

There will now be Australians who have five generations or even more of ancestors "whose bones are in the soil". Surely they must meet Pearson's non-racial definition of indigenous.

So here's the test of whether Pearson is telling the truth when he says "*our claim is on the basis of our being indigenous to this country, not on the basis of race*".

Does his planned "Voice" for "indigenous" Australians include white and Asian indigenous Australians, too? Or just those indigenous Australians who are Aboriginal?

Are his "indigenous Australians" just of one race?

If so, they why not say it up front, Noel? Why be so obscurant? And obdurate?

This is a plan to divide us by race. It is a betrayal of everything

MO RE FRO M AND RE W BOL T

1 ME
MO

2 WH
Y

3 TRU
MP

4 TIPS
FOR

5 PLA
YIN

6 TIPS
FOR

7 SPE
CTA

8 TIPS
FOR

that true enemies of racism worked for for so long.

(NOTE: I write this just an hour or two after visiting the Negro League Baseball Museum of Kansas City. The shocking history it tells ends triumphantly with the admission of black players into the white major league teams from 1947. It is taken for granted that this finale - people playing together, regardless of race - was the ultimate good. And of course: didn't we consider all men brothers under the skin? Yet now Australia's Left is hell-bent in turning back the racial clock. It so chills me. This is a time to despair again at seeing people who mean so well, yet plan such an evil.)

9 "LIA
R",

10 GUA
RDI



COMMENTS

Join the conversation, you are commenting as **roger**

Comments

My profile

Commenting Guidelines

Reader comments on this site are moderated before publication to promote spirited, safe and healthy debate. Thousands of comments are submitted daily and we do our best to publish as many as we can, but submitting one does not guarantee publication. Need help? See our [FAQs](#) or [Commenting Guidelines](#)

By posting a comment you are accepting our [Terms and Conditions](#)

B I ”

Post a comment